## **Comparison of CERES TOA Clear-sky Shortwave Fluxes to CCSM3 Simulations with MODIS-Derived Land Surface Parameters**

# Valentine G. Anantharaj<sup>1</sup>, Udaysankar S. Nair<sup>2</sup>, Peter Lawrence<sup>4</sup>, Thomas L. Chase<sup>3</sup>, Sundar A. Christopher<sup>2</sup>, and Thomas Jones<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS; <sup>2</sup>National Space Science and Technology Center, University of Alabama – Huntsville, AL <sup>3</sup>University of Colorado, Boulder, CO; <sup>4</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO

#### Introduction

We have examined and compared the climatology of clear-sky, upwelling shortwave fluxes at the top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA-SWF), from a suite of GCM experiments simulated using the Community Climate System Model 3 (CCSM3), against the monthly climatologies derived from Clouds and Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES) observations. The CCSM3 (which really is CAM3 + CLM3 with climatological SST and sea ice) experiments considered include a set of three ten year climate simulations: (1) using CLM3 without any modification (CNTRL); (2) the default CLM3 land surface parameters substituted using land surface characteristics derived from MODIS observations (CLM-MODIS); and (3) CLM-MODIS in combination with SiB hydrological model (CLM-SiB).

### Monthly climtatologies for landcover types



Systematic biases in CAM3 radiative transfer parameterization are examined using observations from two ARM sites.



Landcover Types: Desert (Sahara) - top-left; and agriculture - top-right and bottom. Below: SGP-CF (left); and Niger/Niami (right)

#### Error analysis using in-situ measurements





Seasonal variation of CERES observations and the differences in global averages of TOA upwelling SW flux between CCSM and CERES for current land use CONTROL, CLM-MODIS, CLM-SiB; and seasonal CERES estimates.





#### Summary

The TOA shortwave radiation budget in CCSM3 can be improved through the use of MODIS-derived land surface albedo.

In comparison to CERES observations, on a global and annual average basis, all three CCSM3 experiments overestimate TOA-SWF over land and ocean areas. Regionally, CCSM3 overestimates TOA-SWF over some land and ocean areas while underestimating it over others. Substantial differences exist between CERES observations and CCSM3 over global agricultural areas. CCSM3 significantly underestimates TOA-SWF over the Saharan and Arabian Deserts; and utilization of MODIS-derived land surface albedo to constrain CCSM3 simulation improves simulation of TOA-SWF over these regions. The seasonal impact of utilizing MODIS albedo observations can be substantial on a global average basis. Regionally the impact of utilizing MODIS albedo can be significant both on seasonal and annual time scales.

The inability of coarse resolution CCSM3 simulation to resolve spatial heterogeneity of snowfall over high altitude sites such as the US Rockies and the Tibetan Plateau causes overestimation of TOA-SWF in these areas. Use of MODIS-derived albedo does not help improve simulation of TOA-SWF at these high altitude sites.

Quantifying the systematic errors in the CCSM3 shortwave radiative transfer computations is also needed to fully analyze the impacts of the use of MODIS-derived albedo. Comparison of model simulated TOA-SWF to in-situ observations is difficult due to systematic biases that vary geographically in CCSM3.

#### **Acknowledgments**

This research was sponsored by the NOAA Office of Atmospheric Research Laboratories and Cooperative Institutes via NA06OAR4600181; the NASA Applied Sciences Program via NNS06AA98B; and by the National Science Foundation via NSF ATM0437583 and NSF 0639838. We are also grateful to the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program for the in-situ observations from the ARM instruments, and also to the European Central for Medium Range Forecasting for the ERA-40 dataset. We are also grateful for the parttial travel support provided via the ECSW.

> Early Career Scientist Workshop, 20-22 August, Melbourne, Australia Sixth International Scientific Conference on the Global Energy and Water Cycle Second iLEAPS Science Conference

24-28 August 2009, Melbourne, Australia